





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/031,629	02/27/1998	DENISE FAUSTMAN	11275/73537	8880
7:	590 12/21/2001			
KATHLEEN M. WILLIAMS, PH.D.			EXAMINER	
PALMER & DODGE, LLP ONE BEACON STREET			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
BOSTON, MA	02108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	91
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/21/2001	XY
				(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/031,629

Patrick J. Nolan

Examiner

Art Unit

1644

Faustman et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There reject allow	REPLY FILED <u>Oct 22, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for vance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination: in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
e: a s:	xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate xtension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ppropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Oct 22, 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	requisite fees.
3. 💢	
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)) U they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
(0	NOTE: <u>Applicant's proposed amendment would require a new search and further considerations.</u>
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. 🗆	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
7.□	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. 🕱	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 65-71
9.□	The proposed drawing correction filed on a has b has not been approved by the Examine
0.□	
1.□	Other: PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER (2/20/0)

1